arXiv:1512.07474v1 [math.GN] 23 Dec 2015

# Namioka spaces and topological games

V.V. Mykhaylyuk

*Chernivtsi National University, Department of Mathematical Analysis, Kotsjubyns'koho 2, Chernivtsi 58012, Ukraine*
*mathan@chnu.cv.ua*

**Abstract**

We introduce a class of $\beta-v$-unfavorable spaces, which contains some known classes of $\beta$-unfavorable spaces for topological games of Choquet type. It is proved that every $\beta-v$-unfavorable space $X$ is a Namioka space, that is for any compact space $Y$ and any separately continuous function $f : X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$ there exists a dense in $X$ $G_\delta$-set $A \subseteq X$ such that $f$ is jointly continuous at each point of $A \times Y$.

*Key words:* Namioka space, separately continuous function, Valdivia compact, topological game
AMS Subject Classification: Primary 54C05, 54D30, 54E52.

## 1 Introduction

Investigations of the joint continuity point set of separately continuous functions were started by R. Baire in [2] and were continued in papers of many mathematicians. A Namioka's result [7] on a massivity of the joint continuity point set of separately continuous function on the product of two topological spaces, one of which satisfies compactness type conditions, gave a new impulse to a further investigation of this topic.

A topological space $X$ is called *a strongly countably complete space* if there exists a sequence $(\mathcal{U}_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of open coverings of $X$ such that $\bigcap\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n \neq \emptyset$ for every centered sequence $(F_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of closed in $X$ sets $F_n$ with $F_n \subseteq U_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and some $U_n \in \mathcal{U}_n$.

**Theorem 1.1 (Namioka)**. *Let $X$ be a strongly countably complete space, $Y$ be a compact space and $f : X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$ be a separately continuous function. Then there exists a dense in $X$ $G_\delta$-set $A \subseteq X$ such that $f$ is jointly continuous at each point of $A \times Y$.*

The following notions were introduced in [9].

A mapping $f : X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$ has *the Namioka property* if there exists a dense in $X$ $G_\delta$-set $A \subseteq X$ such that $A \times Y \subseteq C(f)$, where $C(f)$ means the joint continuity point set of $f$.

A Baire space $X$ is called *a Namioka space* if for any compact space $Y$, every separately continuous function $f : X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$ has the Namioka property.

It was shown in [4] that a topological games technique can be useful in a study of Namioka spaces.

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a system of subsets of a topological space $X$. Define a $G_{\mathcal{P}}$-game on $X$ in which two players $\alpha$ and $\beta$ participate. A nonempty open in $X$ set $U_0$ is the first move of $\beta$ and a nonempty open in $X$ set $V_1 \subseteq U_0$ and set $P_1 \in \mathcal{P}$ are the first move of $\alpha$. Further $\beta$ chooses a nonempty open in $X$ set $U_1 \subseteq V_1$ and $\alpha$ chooses a nonempty open in $X$ set $V_2 \subseteq U_1$ and a set $P_2 \in \mathcal{P}$ and so on. The player $\alpha$ wins if $(\bigcap\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n) \bigcap (\overline{\bigcup\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n}) \neq \emptyset$. Otherwise $\beta$ wins.

A topological space $X$ is called *$\alpha$-favorable in the $G_{\mathcal{P}}$-game* if $\alpha$ has a winning strategy in this game. A topological space $X$ is called *$\beta$-unfavorable in the $G_{\mathcal{P}}$-game* if $\beta$ has no winning strategy in this game. Clearly, any $\alpha$-favorable topological space $X$ is a $\beta$-unfavorable space.

In the case of $\mathcal{P} = \{X\}$ the game $G_{\mathcal{P}}$ is the classical Choquet game and $X$ is $\beta$-unfavorable in this game if and only if $X$ is a Baire space (see [9]). If $\mathcal{P}$ is the system of all finite (or one-point) subsets of $X$ then $G_{\mathcal{P}}$-game is called a *$\sigma$-game*.

Note that J. P. R. Christensen in [4] generalizing the Namioka theorem considered an $s$-game which is a modification of the $\sigma$-game. So, for the $s$-game $\alpha$ and $\beta$ play in the same way as in the $\sigma$-game, and $\alpha$ wins if each subsequence $(x_{n_k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of sequence $(x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ has a cluster point in the set $\bigcap\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n$, where $P_n = \{x_n\}$. It was proved in [4] that any $\alpha - s$-favorable space is a Namioka space.

J. Saint-Raymond showed in [9] that for usage the topological games method in these investigations it is enough to require a weaker condition of $\beta$-unfavorability instead of the $\alpha$-favorability. He proved that any $\beta - \sigma$-unfavorable space is a Namioka space and generalized the Christensen result.

A further development of this technique leads to a consideration of another topological games which based on wider systems $\mathcal{P}$ of subsets of a topological space $X$.

Let $T$ be a topological space and $\mathcal{K}(T)$ be a collection of all compact subsets of $T$. Then $T$ is said to be $\mathcal{K}$-*countably-determined* if there exist a subset $S$ of the topological space $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and a mapping $\varphi : S \to \mathcal{K}(T)$ such that for every open in $T$ set $U \subseteq T$ the set $\{s \in S : \varphi(s) \subseteq U\}$ is open in $S$ and $T = \bigcup\limits_{s \in S} \varphi(s)$; and it is called $\mathcal{K}$-*analytical* if there exists such a mapping $\varphi$ for the set $S = \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$.

A set $A$ in a topological space $X$ is called *bounded* if for any continuous function $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ the set $f(A) = \{f(a) : a \in A\}$ is bounded.

The following theorem gives further generalizations of Saint-Raymond result.

**Theorem 1.2** *Any $\beta$-unfavorable in $G_{\mathcal{P}}$-game topological space $X$ is a Namioka space if :*

$(i)$ *$\mathcal{P}$ is the system of all compact subsets of $X$ (***M. Talagrand** *[11]);*

$(ii)$ *$\mathcal{P}$ is the system of all $\mathcal{K}$-analytical subsets of $X$ (***G. Debs** *[5]);*

$(iii)$ *$\mathcal{P}$ is the system of all bounded subsets of $X$ (***O. Maslyuchenko** *[6]);*

$(iv)$ *$\mathcal{P}$ is a system of all $\mathcal{K}$-countable-determined subsets of $X$ (***V. Rybakov** *[8]).*

It is easy to see that $(iv) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (i)$ and $(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$.

In this paper, using a technique, which is related to the dependence of functions on products upon some quantity of coordinates, we prove a result which generalizes $(iii)$ and $(iv)$.

## 2 Dependence of functions upon some quantity of coordinates and Namioka property

**Proposition 2.1** *Let $X$ be a topological space, $A \subseteq X$ be a dense in $X$ set, $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^T$ be a topological space, $f : X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous in the first variable function, $\varepsilon \geq 0$ and $S \subseteq T$ be such that $|f(a, y') - f(a, y'')| \leq \varepsilon$ for every $a \in A$ and every $y', y'' \in Y$ with $y'|_S = y''|_S$. Then $|f(x, y') - f(x, y'')| \leq \varepsilon$ for every $x \in X$ and every $y', y'' \in Y$ with $y'|_S = y''|_S$.*

**Proof.** Suppose that $y', y'' \in Y$ with $y'|_S = y''|_S$. Put $h' : X \to \mathbb{R}$, $h'(x) = f(x, y')$, $h'' : X \to \mathbb{R}$, $h''(x) = f(x, y'')$. Since $h'$ and $h''$ are continuous, the set $G = \{x \in X : |h'(x) - h''(x)| > \varepsilon\}$ is open. But $G \cap A = \emptyset$ and $\overline{A} = X$. Thus, $G = \emptyset$ and $f(x, y') = f(x, y'')$ for each $x \in X$. $\diamondsuit$

**Proposition 2.2** *Let $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^T$ be a compact space, $(Z, |\cdot - \cdot|_Z)$ be a metric*

*space, $f : Y \to Z$ be a continuous mapping, $\varepsilon \geq 0$ and $S \subseteq T$ be such that $|f(y')-f(y'')|_Z \leq \varepsilon$ for every $y', y'' \in Y$ with $y'|_S = y''|_S$. Then for every $\varepsilon' > \varepsilon$ there exist a finite set $S_0 \subseteq S$ and a real $\delta > 0$ such that $|f(y') - f(y'')|_Z \leq \varepsilon'$ for every $y', y'' \in Y$ with $|y'(s) - y''(s)| < \delta$ for each $s \in S_0$.*

**Proof.** Fix some $\varepsilon' > \varepsilon$. Suppose that the proposition is false for this $\varepsilon'$. Put $A = \{(R, n) : R \subseteq S$ is finite and $n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Consider on $A$ the following order: $(R', n') \leq (R'', n'')$ if $R' \subseteq R''$ and $n' \leq n''$. By the assumption, for every $a = (R, n) \in A$ there exist $y'_a, y''_a \in Y$ such that $|f(y'_a) - f(y''_a)|_Z > \varepsilon'$ and $|y'_a(s) - y''_a(s)| < \frac{1}{n}$ for each $s \in R$. Since $Y^2$ is a compact then the net $(y'_a, y''_a)_{a\in A}$ has a subnet $(z'_b, z''_b)_{b\in B}$ which converges in $Y^2$ to some point $(y', y'')$. The continuity of $f$ implies $|f(y') - f(y'')|_Z \geq \varepsilon' > \varepsilon$. For every $a_0 = (\{s_0\}, n_0) \in A$ there exists $b_0 \in B$ such that $a \geq a_0$ for every $b \geq b_0$ where $a \in A$ is such that $(z'_b, z''_b) = (y'_a, y''_a)$. Therefore $|y'(s_0) - y''(s_0)| \leq \frac{1}{n_0}$ for every $s_0 \in S$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $y'(s) = y''(s)$ for every $s \in S$ and $|f(y') - f(y'')|_Z \leq \varepsilon$, but it is impossible. ♢

**Corollary 2.3** *Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^T$ be a compact space, $\varphi : X \to \mathbb{R}^S$ be a continuous mapping and $S_0 \subseteq S$ with $|S_0| \leq \aleph_0$. Then there exists a set $T_0 \subseteq T$ with $|T_0| \leq \aleph_0$ such that $\varphi(x')|_{S_0} = \varphi(x'')|_{S_0}$ for every $x', x'' \in X$ with $x'|_{S_0} = x''|_{T_0}$.*

**Proof.** Consider a continuous mapping $f : X \to \mathbb{R}^{S_0}$, $f(x) = \varphi(x)|_{S_0}$. Note that $\mathbb{R}^{S_0}$ is metrizable. Fix a metric $d$ which generates the topology on $\mathbb{R}^{S_0}$. Using Proposition 2.2 for $\varepsilon = 0$ we obtain that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a finite set $T_n \subseteq T$ such that $d(f(x'), f(x'')) \leq \frac{1}{n}$ for any $x', x'' \in X$ with $x'|_{T_n} = x''|_{T_n}$. The set $T_0 = \bigcup\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} T_n$ is to be found. ♢

Let $X$ be a topological space,$(Y, d)$ be a metric space, $f : X \to Y$ and $A \subseteq X$ be a nonempty set. The real $\omega_f(A) = \sup\limits_{x',x''\in A} d(f(x'), f(x''))$ is called *the oscillation of $f$ on $A$*, and the real $\omega_f(x_0) = \inf\limits_{U\in\mathcal{U}} \omega_f(U)$, where $\mathcal{U}$ is the system of all neighborhoods of $x_0 \in X$ in $X$, is called *the oscillation of $f$ at $x_0$*.

The following result illustrates relations between the Namioka property and the dependence of mappings upon some quantity of coordinates.

**Theorem 2.4** *Let $X$ be a Baire space, $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^T$ be a compact space and $f : X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$ be a separately continuous function. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

*$(i)$ $f$ has the Namioka property;*

*$(ii)$ for every open in $X$ nonempty set $U$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist an open in $X$ nonempty set $U_0 \subseteq U$ and a set $S_0 \subseteq T$ with $|S_0| \leq \aleph_0$ such that $|f(x, y') - f(x, y'')| \leq \varepsilon$ for every $x \in U_0$ and every $y', y'' \in Y$ with*

$y'|_{S_0} = y''|_{S_0}$;

*(iii) for every open in $X$ nonempty set $U$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist an open in $X$ nonempty set $U_0 \subseteq U$, a finite set $S_0 \subseteq T$ and $\delta > 0$ such that $|f(x, y') - f(x, y'')| \leq \varepsilon$ for every $x \in U_0$ and every $y', y'' \in Y$ with $|y'(s) - y''(s)| < \delta$, if $s \in S_0$.*

**Proof.** $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$. Let $f$ has the Namioka property and $U$ be an open in $X$ nonempty set. Then there exists an open in $X$ nonempty set $U_0 \subseteq U$ such that $|f(x', y) - f(x'', y)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ for every $x', x'' \in U_0$ and every $y \in Y$. Pick an arbitrary point $x_0 \in U_0$. The continuous function $g : Y \to \mathbb{R}$, $g(y) = f(x_0, y)$ depends upon countable quantity of coordinates, that is there exists a set $S_0 \subseteq T$ with $|S_0| \leq \aleph_0$ such that $g(y') = g(y'')$ for every $y', y'' \in Y$ with $y'|_{S_0} = y''|_{S_0}$. For every $x \in U_0$ we have $|f(x, y') - f(x, y'')| \leq |f(x, y') - f(x_0, y')| + |f(x_0, y') - f(x_0, y'')| + |f(x_0, y'') - f(x, y'')| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon$.

$(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$. Fix an open in $X$ nonempty set $U$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. By $(ii)$ there exist an open in $X$ nonempty set $U' \subseteq U$ and a set $S' \subseteq S$ with $|S'| \leq \aleph_0$ such that $|f(x, y') - f(x, y'')| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ for every $x \in U'$ and every $y', y'' \in Y$ with $y'|_{S'} = y''|_{S'}$. Let $S' = \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_n, \ldots\}$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ denote by $F_n$ the set of all points $x \in X$ such that $|f(x, y') - f(x, y'')| \leq \varepsilon$ for every $y', y'' \in Y$ with $|y'(s_k) - y''(s_k)| < \delta$ by $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. The continuity of $f$ in the variable $x$ implies that all sets $F_n$ are closed. By Proposition 2.2 the continuity of $f$ in the variable $y$ implies $U' \subseteq \bigcup\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$. Since $X$ is a Baire space, there exist an integer $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and an open in $X$ nonempty set $U_0 \subseteq U'$ such that $U_0 \subseteq \operatorname{int}(F_{n_0})$. It remains to put $\delta = \frac{1}{n_0}$.

$(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$. Suppose that for an open in $X$ nonempty set $U$ and any real $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist an open in $X$ nonempty set $U_0 \subseteq U$ and a finite set $S_0 \subseteq T$ and a $\delta > 0$ such that $|f(x, y') - f(x, y'')| \leq \varepsilon$ for every $x \in U_0$ and every $y', y'' \in Y$ with $|y'(s) - y''(s)| < \delta$, if $s \in S_0$. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ the open set $G_\varepsilon = \{x \in X : (\forall y \in Y)\,(\omega_f(x, y) < \varepsilon)\}$ is dense in $X$. Put $A = \bigcap\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} G_{\frac{1}{n}}$. Clearly that $f$ is continuous at each point of $A \times Y$. Thus, $f$ has the Namioka property. $\diamondsuit$

**Proposition 2.5** *Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^S$ be a compact space, $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function, $T \subseteq S$ be a set such that $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ for every $x_1, x_2 \in X$ with $x_1|_T = x_2|_T$, $\varphi : X \to \mathbb{R}^T$, $\varphi(x) = x|_T$ and $Y = \varphi(X)$. Then the function $g : Y \to \mathbb{R}$, $g(x|_T) = f(x)$ is continuous.*

**Proof.** Let $y_0 \in Y$, $x_0 \in X$ be such that $\varphi(x_0) = y_0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. The set $F = \{x \in X : |f(x) - f(x_0)| \geq \varepsilon\}$ is closed in $X$, thus $F$ is compact. Therefore the set $\varphi(F)$ is compact subset of $Y$, besides $y_0 \notin \varphi(F)$. Thus the set $V = Y \setminus \varphi(F)$ is a neighborhood of $y_0$. For every $y \in V$ we have

$|g(y) - g(y_0)| < \varepsilon$. Hence $g$ is continuous at $y_0$. $\diamondsuit$

## 3 Valdivia compacts and the Namioka property

In this section we establish a result which we will use in the proof of a generalization of Theorem 1.2.

Recall that a compact space $Y$ is called *a Valdivia compact* if $Y$ is homeomorphic to a compact $Z \subseteq \mathbb{R}^S$ such that a set $B = \{z \in Z : |\operatorname{supp} z| \leq \aleph_0\}$ is dense in $Z$, where $\operatorname{supp} f$ means *the support* $\{x \in X : f(x) \neq 0\}$ of a function $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$.

**Theorem 3.1** *Let $X$ be a Baire space, $Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}^T$ be a Valdivia compact, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $f : X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous in the firsts variable function such that $\omega_{f^x}(y) < \varepsilon$ for every $x \in X$ and every $y \in Y$, where $f^x : Y \to \mathbb{R}$, $f^x(y) = f(x, y)$. Then there exist an open in $X$ nonempty set $U_0$ and a set $T_0 \subseteq T$ with $|T_0| \leq \aleph_0$ such that $|f(x, y') - f(x, y'')| \leq 3\varepsilon$ for every $x \in U_0$ and every $y', y'' \in Y$ with $y'|_{T_0} = y''|_{T_0}$.*

**Proof.** Note that $Y$ is homeomorphic to a compact $Z \subseteq \mathbb{R}^S$ such that the set $B = \{z \in Z : |\operatorname{supp} z| \leq \aleph_0\}$ is dense in $Z$. Let $\varphi : Y \to Z$ be a homeomorphism and $g : X \times Z \to \mathbb{R}$, $g(x, z) = f(x, \varphi^{-1}(z))$. Clearly, $g$ is continuous in the first variable and $\omega_{g^x}(z) < \varepsilon$ for every $x \in X$ and every $z \in Z$, where $g^x : Z \to \mathbb{R}$, $g^x(z) = g(x, z)$.

For each $x \in X$ pick a finite covering $\mathcal{W}_x$ of $Z$ by open in $Z$ basic sets such that $\omega_{g^x}(W) < \varepsilon$ for every $W \in \mathcal{W}_x$. For each $W \in \mathcal{W}_x$ choose a finite set $R(W) \subseteq S$ such that for every $z', z'' \in Z$ the conditions $z' \in W$ and $z''(s) = z'(s)$ for any $s \in R(W)$ imply that $z'' \in W$. For a finite set $S_x = \bigcup\limits_{W \in \mathcal{W}_x} R(W)$ we have $|g(x, z') - g(x, z'')| < \varepsilon$ for every $z', z'' \in Z$ with $z'|_{S_x} = z''|_{S_x}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we put $X_n = \{x \in X : |S_x| \leq n\}$. Since $X$ is a Baire space, there exist an open in $X$ nonempty set $\tilde{U}$ and an integer $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\tilde{U} \subseteq \overline{X}_{n_0}$.

Show that there exist an open in $X$ nonempty set $U_0 \subseteq \tilde{U}$ and a set $S_0 \subseteq S$ with $|S_0| \leq \aleph_0$ such that $|g(x, b') - g(x, b'')| \leq \varepsilon$ for every $x \in U_0$ and every $b', b'' \in B$ with $b'|_{S_0} = b''|_{S_0}$.

Assume that it is false. Pick a set $S_1 \subseteq S$ with $|S_1| \leq \aleph_0$ and an open in $X$ nonempty set $U_1 = \tilde{U}$. By the assumption, there exist $x_1 \in U_1$ and $b_1, c_1 \in B$ such that $|g(x_1, b_1) - g(x_1, c_1)| > \varepsilon$ and $b_1|_{S_1} = c_1|_{S_1}$. Using the continuity of $g$ in the first variable, we find an open in $X$ nonempty set $U_2 \subseteq U_1$ such that $|g(x, b_1) - g(x, c_1)| > \varepsilon$ for every $x \in U_2$. Put $S_2 = S_1 \cup (\operatorname{supp} b_1) \cup (\operatorname{supp} c_1)$. By the assumption, there exist $x_2 \in U_2$ and $b_2, c_2 \in B$ such that $|g(x_2, b_2) -$

$g(x_2, c_2)| > \varepsilon$ and $b_2|_{S_2} = c_2|_{S_2}$. Doing like that step by step $n_0$ times, we obtain a decreasing sequence $(U_n)_1^{n_0+2}$ of open in $X$ nonempty sets $U_n$, an increasing sequence $(S_n)_{n=1}^{n_0+2}$ of at most countable sets $S_n \subseteq S$ and sequences $(b_n)_{n=1}^{n_0+1}$ and $(c_n)_{n=1}^{n_0+1}$ of points $b_n, c_n \in B$ such that the following conditions hold:

*a)* $U_{n+1} \subseteq U_n$;

*b)* $S_{n+1} = S_n \cup (\operatorname{supp} b_n) \cup (\operatorname{supp} c_n)$;

*c)* $b_n|_{S_n} = c_n|_{S_n}$;

*d)* $|g(x, b_n) - g(x, c_n)| > \varepsilon$ for each $x \in U_{n+1}$

for every $n = 1, 2, \ldots, n_0 + 1$.

Since $U_{n_0+2} \subseteq U_1 = \tilde{U} \subseteq \overline{X}_{n_0}$, $U_{n_0+2} \cap X_{n_0} \neq \emptyset$. Pick a point $x_0 \in U_{n_0+2} \cap X_{n_0}$ and fix $n \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n_0 + 1\}$. Then $|g(x_0, b_n) - g(x_0, c_n)| > \varepsilon$ by Condition *d)*. The definition of $S_{x_0}$ implies $b_n|_{S_{x_0}} \neq c_n|_{S_{x_0}}$. Besides, $b_n|_{S_n} = c_n|_{S_n}$ by Condition *c)* and Condition *b)* implies that $b_n|_{S \setminus S_{n+1}} = c_n|_{S \setminus S_{n+1}} \equiv 0$. Therefore $b_n|_{S \setminus (S_{n+1} \setminus S_n)} = c_n|_{S \setminus (S_{n+1} \setminus S_n)}$. Thus $S_{x_0} \not\subseteq S \setminus (S_{n+1} \setminus S_n)$, that is $S_{x_0} \cap (S_{n+1} \setminus S_n) \neq \emptyset$ for every $n = 1, 2, \ldots, n_0 + 1$. But this contradicts $|S_{x_0}| \leq n_0$.

Now we show that $|g(x, z') - g(x, z'')| \leq 3\varepsilon$ for every $x \in U_0 \quad z', z'' \in Z$ $z'|_{S_0} = z''|_{S_0}$.

Fix $x \in U_0$ and $z', z'' \in Z$ with $z'|_{S_0} = z''|_{S_0}$. Since the countably compact set $B$ is dense in $Z$, $\omega_{g^x}(z') < \varepsilon$, $\omega_{g^x}(z'') < \varepsilon$ and $|S_0| \leq \aleph_0$, there exist $b', b'' \in B$ such that $b'|_{S_0} = z'|_{S_0}$, $b''|_{S_0} = z''|_{S_0}$, $|g(x, z') - g(x, b')| < \varepsilon$ and $|g(x, z'') - g(x, b'')| < \varepsilon$. Therefore $b'|_{S_0} = b''|_{S_0}$ and $|g(x, b') - g(x, b'')| < \varepsilon$. Thus

$$|g(x, z') - g(x, z'')| \leq |g(x, z') - g(x, b')| + |g(x, b') - g(x, b'')| + |g(x, b'') - g(x, z'')| <$$

$$< \varepsilon + \varepsilon + \varepsilon = 3\varepsilon.$$

Applying Corollary 2.3 to the compact space $Y$, the mapping $\varphi$ and the set $S_0$, we find an at most countable set $T_0 \subseteq T$ such that $\varphi(y')|_{S_0} = \varphi(y'')|_{S_0}$ for every $y', y'' \in Y$ with $y'|_{T_0} = y''|_{T_0}$. Then $|f(x, y') - f(x, y'')| = |g(x, \varphi(y')) - g(x, \varphi(y''))| \leq 3\varepsilon$ for every $x \in U_0$. $\diamondsuit$

In particular, Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 3.1 imply the following result which was obtained in [3, Corollary 1.2].

**Corollary 3.2** *Any separately continuous function on the product of a Baire space and a Valdivia compact has the Namioka property, that is any Valdivia*

*compact is a co-Namioka space.*

## 4 Namioka spaces and $\beta - v$-unfavorable spaces

In this section we prove a generalization of Theorem 1.2.

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a system of subsets of topological space $X$ with the following conditions

$(v_1)$ $\mathcal{P}$ is closed with respect to finite unions;

$(v_2)$ for every set $E \in \mathcal{P}$ and every compact $Y \subseteq C_p(X)$ a compact $\varphi(Y)$ is a Valdivia compact, where $\varphi : C_p(X) \to C_p(E)$, $\varphi(y) = y|_E$.

A topological space $X$ is called $\beta - v$-*unfaforable* if the player $\beta$ has no winning strategy in the $G_{\mathcal{P}}$-game for some system $\mathcal{P}$ with $(v_1)$ and $(v_2)$.

Recall that a compact space $Y$ is called *an Eberlein compact* if $Y$ is homeomorphic to a compact subset of $C_p(X)$ for some compact $X$. A compact space $Y$ is called *a Corson compact* if $Y$ is homeomorphic to a compact $Z \subseteq \mathbb{R}^S$ such that $|\operatorname{supp} z| \leq \aleph_0$ for every $z \in Z$. It is known that any Eberlein compact is a Corson compact and clearly that any Corson compact is a Valdivia compact.

**Proposition 4.1** *Let $X$ be a topological space and $\mathcal{K}$ be a system of all nonempty sets $E \subseteq X$ such that for every compact $Y \subseteq C_p(X)$ a compact $\varphi(Y)$ is a Corson compact, where $\varphi : C_p(X) \to C_p(E)$, $\varphi(y) = y|_E$. Then $\mathcal{K}$ has $(v_1)$ and $(v_2)$.*

**Proof.** Let $E_1, E_2 \in \mathcal{K}$, $E = E_1 \cup E_2$, $\varphi_1 : C_p(X) \to C_p(E_1)$, $\varphi_1(y) = y|_{E_1}$, $\varphi_2 : C_p(X) \to C_p(E_2)$, $\varphi_2(y) = y|_{E_2}$, $\varphi : C_p(X) \to C_p(E)$, $\varphi(y) = y|_E$ and $Y \subseteq C_p(X)$ be a compact. Note that a mapping $\psi : \varphi(Y) \to \varphi_1(Y) \times \varphi_2(Y)$, $\psi(y) = (y|_{E_1}, y|_{E_2})$, is a homeomorphic embedding. Therefore the compact $\varphi(Y)$ is a Corson compact. Thus $\mathcal{K}$ has $(v_1)$.

The property $(v_2)$ of system $\mathcal{K}$ is obvious. $\diamondsuit$

**Proposition 4.2** *Any $\beta$-unfavorable in $G_{\mathcal{P}}$-game topological space $X$, where $\mathcal{P}$ is the system of all bounded subsets of $X$ or $\mathcal{P}$ is a system of all $\mathcal{K}$-countably-determined subsets of $X$, is a $\beta - v$-unfavorable space.*

**Proof.** Let $E$ be a bounded set in a topological space $X$ and $Y \subseteq C_p(X)$ be a compact. Consider a continuous mapping $\psi : X \to C_p(Y)$. Clearly, the set $T = \psi(E)$ is bounded in $C_p(Y)$. Therefore by [1, Theorem III.4.1] the closure $\overline{T}$ of $T$ in $C_p(Y)$ is a compact. Then a compact $Z = \psi_1(Y)$,

where $\psi_1 : Y \to C_p(T)$, $\psi_1(y)(t) = t(y)$ for every $y \in Y$ and $t \in T$, is an Eberlein compact, because $Z$ is homeomorphic to a compact subset of $C_p(\overline{T})$. Since compacts $Z$ and $\varphi(Y)$, where $\varphi : C_p(X) \to C_p(E)$, $\varphi(y) = y|_E$, are homeomorphic, $\varphi(Y)$ is an Eberlein compact, in particular, $\varphi(Y)$ is a Corson compact.

It follows analogously from [10, Theorem 3.7] that for every $\mathcal{K}$-countably-determined set $E \subseteq X$ and every compact $Y \subseteq C_p(X)$ a compact $\varphi(Y)$, where $\varphi : C_p(X) \to C_p(E)$, $\varphi(y) = y|_E$, is a Corson compact.

Thus the systems $\mathcal{P}_1$ of all bounded subsets and $\mathcal{P}_2$ of all $\mathcal{K}$-countable-determined subsets of the topological space $X$ are contained in the system $\mathcal{K}$, by Proposition 4.1. Therefore any $\beta$-unfavorable space in the $G_{\mathcal{P}_1}$-game or in the $G_{\mathcal{P}_2}$-game is a $\beta$-unfavorable in $G_{\mathcal{K}}$-game and it is $\beta - v$-unfavorable by Proposition 4.1. $\diamondsuit$

**Theorem 4.3** *Any $\beta - v$-unfavorable space is a Namioka space.*

**Proof.** Let $X$ be a $\beta - v$-unfavorable space. Then there exists a system $\mathcal{P}$ of subsets $E$ of topological space $X$ which satisfies $(v_1)$ and $(v_2)$ and such that $X$ is $\beta$-unfavorable in the $G_{\mathcal{P}}$-game.

Assume that $X$ is not a Namioka space. Then there exist a compact space $Y$ and a separately continuous function $f : X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$ which does not have the Namioka property. Consider a continuous mapping $\varphi : Y \to C_p(X)$, $\varphi(y)(x) = f(x, y)$. Put $Z = \varphi(Y)$. Clearly, $Z$ is a compact subspace of $\mathbb{R}^X$ and a separately continuous mapping $g : X \times Z \to \mathbb{R}$, $g(x, z) = z(x)$, does not have the Namioka property. Note that $X$ is a $\beta$-unfavorable space in the Choquet game, i.e. $X$ is a Baire space. Therefore by Theorem 2.4 there exist an open in $X$ nonempty set $U_0$ and an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for every open in $X$ nonempty set $U \subseteq U_0$ and every at most countable set $A \subseteq X$ there exist $x \in U$ and $z', z'' \in Z$ such that $z'|_A = z''|_A$ and $|g(x, z') - g(x, z'')| > \varepsilon$.

Show that for every set $E \in \mathcal{P}$ the set $F(E) = \{x \in U_0 : |g(x, z') - g(x, z'')| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{8}$ *for every* $z', z'' \in Z$ *with* $z'|_E = z''|_E\}$ is nowhere dense in $U_0$.

Suppose that it is false. Since $U_0$ is a Baire space with the topology induced by $X$ and by Proposition 2.1 all sets $F(E)$ are closed in $U_0$, there exist a set $E_0 \in \mathcal{P}$ and an open in $X$ nonempty set $V_0 \subseteq U_0$ such that $V_0 \subseteq F(E_0)$. Suppose $\psi : Z \to \mathbb{R}^{E_0}$, $\psi(z) = z|_{E_0}$ and $\tilde{Z} = \psi(Z)$. Since the system $\mathcal{P}$ satisfies $(v_2)$, $\tilde{Z}$ is a Valdivia compact. For every $\tilde{z} \in \tilde{Z}$ choose a point $\tau(\tilde{z}) \in Z$ such that $\psi(\tau(\tilde{z})) = \tilde{z}$. Consider a mapping $h : V_0 \times \tilde{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$, $h(x, \tilde{z}) = g(x, \tau(\tilde{z}))$. Since $g$ is continuous in the first variable, $h$ is continuous in the first variable.

Fix $x_0 \in V_0$ and $\tilde{z}_0 \in \tilde{Z}$. The set $B = \{z \in Z : |g(x_0, z) - g(x_0, \tau(\tilde{z}_0))| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}\}$ is a compact subset of $Z$. Besides, $x_0 \in F(E_0)$ implies $\tilde{z}_0 \notin \psi(B)$. Since $\psi$ is

continuous, the set $\psi(B)$ is a compact subset of $\tilde{Z}$. Thus $\psi(B)$ is a closed subset of $\tilde{Z}$. Therefore the set $\tilde{W} = \tilde{Z} \setminus \psi(B)$ is a neighborhood of $\tilde{z}_0$. Then $\tau(\tilde{z}) \notin B$ for every $\tilde{z} \in \tilde{W}$, that is $|g(x_0, \tau(\tilde{z})) - g(x_0, \tau(\tilde{z}_0))| = |h(x_0, \tilde{z}) - h(x_0, \tilde{z}_0)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$ for every $\tilde{z} \in \tilde{W}$. Hence $\omega_{h^{x_0}}(z_0) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$, where $h^{x_0} : \tilde{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$, $h^{x_0}(\tilde{z}) = h(x_0, \tilde{z})$.

Thus, $h$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.1. Therefore there exist an open in $X$ nonempty set $\tilde{U} \subseteq V_0$ and an at most countable set $A_0 \subseteq E_0$ such that $|h(x, \tilde{z}') - h(x, \tilde{z}'')| \leq \frac{3\varepsilon}{4}$ for every $x \in \tilde{U}$ and every $\tilde{z}', \tilde{z}'' \in \tilde{Z}$ with $\tilde{z}'|_{A_0} = \tilde{z}''|_{A_0}$.

Pick arbitrary points $x \in \tilde{U}$ and $z', z'' \in Z$ such that $z'|_{A_0} = z''|_{A_0}$. Put $\tilde{z}' = \psi(z')$ and $\tilde{z}'' = \psi(z'')$. Clearly, $\tilde{z}'|_{A_0} = \tilde{z}''|_{A_0}$. Therefore $|h(x, \tilde{z}') - h(x, \tilde{z}'')| \leq \frac{3\varepsilon}{4}$. Since $z'|_{E_0} = \tau(\tilde{z}')|_{E_0}$, $z''|_{E_0} = \tau(\tilde{z}'')|_{E_0}$ and $x \in \tilde{U} \subseteq V_0 \subseteq F(E_0)$, $|g(x, z') - g(x, \tau(\tilde{z}'))| = |g(x, z') - h(x, \tilde{z}')| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{8}$ and $|g(x, z'') - g(x, \tau(\tilde{z}''))| = |g(x, z'') - h(x, \tilde{z}'')| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{8}$. Then

$$|g(x, z') - g(x, z'')| \leq |g(x, z') - h(x, \tilde{z}')| + |h(x, \tilde{z}') - h(x, \tilde{z}'')|$$

$$+|h(x, \tilde{z}'') - g(x, z'')| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{8} + \frac{3\varepsilon}{4} + \frac{\varepsilon}{8} = \varepsilon.$$

But this contradicts the choice of $U_0$.

Thus the set $F(E)$ is nowhere dense in $U_0$ for every $E \in \mathcal{P}$.

Describe a strategy for the player $\beta$ in the $G_{\mathcal{P}}$-game. The set $U_0$ is the first move of $\beta$. Let $(V_1, \tilde{E}_1)$ be the first move of $\alpha$, where $V_1 \subseteq U_0$ is an open in $X$ nonempty set and $\tilde{E}_1 \in \mathcal{P}$. Then $U_1 = V_1 \setminus F(E_1)$ is the second move of $\beta$, where $E_1 = \tilde{E}_1$. If $V_2 \subseteq U_1$ is an open in $X$ nonempty set and $\tilde{E}_2 \in \mathcal{P}$ then $U_2 = V_2 \setminus F(E_2)$ where $E_2 = E_1 \cup \tilde{E}_2$. Continuing the procedure of choice by the obvious manner, we obtain decreasing sequences $(U_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $(V_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of open in $X$ nonempty sets $U_n$ and $V_n$ and an increasing sequence $(E_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of sets $E_n \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $V_n \subseteq U_{n-1}$, $U_n = V_n \setminus F(E_n)$ and $\tilde{E}_n \subseteq E_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\tilde{E}_n \in \mathcal{P}$ is the corresponding part of the $n$-th move of $\alpha$.

Put $E = \bigcup\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$. Clearly, $\bigcup\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{E}_n \subseteq E$. Pick a point $x_0 \in \overline{E}$. Note that $g(x_0, z') = g(x_0, z'')$ for every $z', z'' \in Z$ with $z'|_E = z''|_E$, that is the continuous function $g^{x_0} : Z \to \mathbb{R}$, $g^{x_0}(z) = g(x_0, z)$, is concentrated on $E$. Using Proposition 2.2, we obtain that there exists a finite set $A \subseteq E$ such that $|g(x_0, z') - g(x_0, z'')| < \frac{\varepsilon}{8}$ for every $z', z'' \in Z$ with $z'|_A = z''|_A$. Pick $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A \subseteq E_{n_0}$. Then $x_0 \in F(E_{n_0})$ therefore $x_0 \notin U_{n_0}$. Thus $x_0 \notin \bigcap\limits_{n=0}^{\infty} U_n$ and $\overline{E} \bigcap (\bigcap\limits_{n=0}^{\infty} U_n) = \emptyset$. In particular, $\overline{(\bigcup\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} \tilde{E}_n)} \bigcap (\bigcap\limits_{n=0}^{\infty} U_n) = \emptyset$. Hence the strategy described above is a winning strategy for $\beta$ in the $G_{\mathcal{P}}$-game, but it is impossible.

Thus, our assumption is false and the theorem is proved. $\diamondsuit$

## References


[1] A. V. Arhangel'skii, *Topological function spaces* (Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1992).

[2] R. Baire, 'Sur les fonctions de variable reelles', *An. Mat. Pura Appl.* (3) (1899) 1-123.

[3] A. Bouziad, 'Notes sur la propriete de Namioka', *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* (3) 344 (1994) 873-883.

[4] J. P. R. Christesen, 'Joint continuity of separately continuous functions', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* (3) 82 (1981) 455-461.

[5] G. Debs, 'Points de continuite d'une function separement continue', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* (1) 97 (1986) 167-176.

[6] O. V. Maslyuchenko, 'Oscillation of separately continuous functions and topological games', Dys. ... kand. fiz.-mat. nauk., Chernivtsi National University, 2002. (in Ukrainian)

[7] I. Namioka, 'Separate contimuity and joint continuity', *Pacif. J. Math.* (2) 51 (1974) 515-531.

[8] V. I. Rybakov, 'Some class of Namioka spaces', *Mat. zametki* (2) 73 (2003) 263-268.(in Russian)

[9] J. Saint-Raymond, 'Jeux topologiques et espaces de Namioka', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* (3) 87 (1983) 489-504.

[10] M. Talagrand, 'Espaces de Banach faiblement $\mathcal{K}$-analytiques', *Ann. of Math.* 110 (1979) 407-438.

[11] M. Talagrand, 'Espaces de Baire et espaces de Namioka', *Ann. of. Math.* (2) 270 (1985) 159-164.